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$(E)$ - and ( $Z$ )-3-(4-toluenesulfonyloxymethylene)dihydro-2(3H)-furanones $((E)-I)$ and ( $Z$ )-I) react with piperidine, pyrrolidine, morpholine, butanethiol, 4-ioluenethiol, and 2-naphthalenethiol to give $(E)$-isomers of the corresponding enamines $I I-I V$ and thiomethylene derivatives $V-V I I$ in high yields. As has been shown, the reactions proceed with retention of configuration; the inilially formed $(Z)-I I,(Z)-I I I,(Z)-I V$, and $(Z)-V$ isomerize rapidly to the thermodynamically more stable $(E)$-isomers. Ether $I X$ was obtained by reaction of $(E)-I$ with the $(E)$-isomer of sodium salt of 3-(hydroxymethylene)dihydro-2(3H)-furanone ( $E ;-V I I I)$ and a mixture of ethers $I X$ and $X$ resulted from reaction of $(Z)-I$ with sodium salt of $(E:-V I I I$. Compounds $(E)-I$ and $(Z)-I$ afforded the corresponding azides $(E)-X I$ and $(Z)-X I$ upon treatment with sodium azide, and hydroxybutanoic acids $(E)-X I I$ and $(Z)-X I I$ with sodium hydroxide in aquecus acetone. Chloride $(E)-X I I I$, prepared by reacting $(E)-I$ with methanolic hydrogen chloride, furnished with piperidine, pyrrolidine. morpholine, or 2-naphthalenethiol the corresponding $(E)-I I,(E)-I I I,(E)-I V$, and $(E)-V I I$. Potassium cyanide reacted with $(E)-I$ in dimethyl sulfoxide to yield nitrile $(E)-X I V$. Cycloaddition of the azide $(E)-X I$ to isobutyl vinyl ether or cyclohexyl vinyl ether proceeds quantitatively to give the respective triazolines $(E)-X V$ and $(E)-X V I$. Alkaline hydrolysis of $I X$ afforded the acid $X V I I$. Reduction of $(E)-I,(Z)-I$, and $(E)-V I I$ with chloralane gave the respective butanediols ( $E 1-X V I I I,(Z)-X V I I I$, and ( $E ;-X I X$, respectively. Configurations of these substances were elucidated by ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR spectrometry.

Preparation of pure sulfonates and carboxylates of $(E)$ - and $(Z)$-3-(hydroxymethy-lene)dihydro-2( $3 H$ )-furanones has already been described ${ }^{1}$. Considering the availability of the starting compounds, it seemed useful to investigate nucleophilic substitution of $(E)$ - and (Z)-3-(4-toluenesulfonyloxymethylene)dihydro-2( $3 H$ )-furanones ( $E$ )-I, $(Z)-I)$ with respect to both the more general problem of steric course of nucleophilic substitutions at an activated double bond ${ }^{2}$, and the presumed importance of $\alpha$-methy-lene- $\gamma$-butyrolactone grouping associated with the cancerostatic effect of natural ${ }^{3}$, as well as synthetic ${ }^{4}$ compounds.

## EXPERIMENTAL

Melting points are uncorrected. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded with Tesla BS 567 and Tesla BS 467 apparatuses at 100 and 60 MHz , respectively; measured were deuteriochloroform

[^0]solutions containing hexamethyldisiloxane as internal reference unless stated otherwise. The chemical shift values were converted to tetramethylsilane as the standard. Tosylates ( $E$ )-I and ( $Z$ )-I were prepared according to ${ }^{1}$.
(E)-3-(Piperidinomethylene)-, (E)-3-(Pyrrolidinomethylene)-, and (E)-3-(Morpholinomethylene)dihydro-2(3H)-furanones $((E)-I I,(E)-I I I$, and $(E)-I V)$
A) From tosylate $(E)-I$ : piperidine ( $2 \mathrm{ml}, 20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), or pyrrolidine ( $1.7 \mathrm{ml}, 20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), or morpholine $(1.75 \mathrm{ml}, 20 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetone $(10 \mathrm{ml})$ was added to a stirred solution of $(E)-I(2.7 \mathrm{~g}$, 10 mmol ) in acetone ( 50 ml ). The mixture was stirred for 2 h , the solvent was evaporated under diminished pressure and the residue dissolved in benzene ( 50 ml ) was extracted with water $(4 \times 50 \mathrm{ml})$. The benzene solution was dried with magnesium sulfate and the solvent was evaporated in vacuo to give $90-95 \%$ of the corresponding enamines, which were crystallized from cyclohexane $((E)-I I$ and $(E)-I I I)$ or ethyl acetate $((E)-I V)$.
$B$ ) From tosylate $(Z)-I$ : the same procedure was applied to prepare $(E)-I I,(E)-I I I$ and $(E)-I V$ in $90-95 \%$ yields.
(E)-3-(Butylthiomethylene)dihydro-2(3H)-furanone ( $(E)-V$ )
A) From tosylate ( $E$ )-I: butanethiol ( $3 \mathrm{ml}, 40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and ( $E$ ) $-I(8 \cdot 1 \mathrm{~g}, 30 \mathrm{mmol})$ were successively added to a solution of sodium metal $(0.9 \mathrm{~g}, 40 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol ( 150 ml ) with stirring. After 24 h the solution was evaporated under reduced pressure, the residue was diluted with water ( 100 ml ) and extracted with chloroform ( $5 \times 50 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined extracts were dried with sodium sulfate, filtered, and the solvent was removed under diminished pressure. The residue was column-distilled in vacuo, to obtain ( $E$ )-V in a $48 \%$ yield.
$B$ ) From tosylate $(Z)-I$ : in the same manner, the compound $(E)-V$ was obtained in a $52 \%$ yield.
(E)-3-'4-Tolylthiomethylene)- and (E)-3-(2-Naphthylthiomethylene)dihydro-2(3H)-furanones $((E)-V I)$ and $((E)-V I I)$
A) From tosylate ( $E$ )-I: a suspension of sodium hydride ( $40 \%$ ) in mull oil ( $0.27 \mathrm{~g}, 11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was gradually added to a stirred mixture of 4 -toluenethiol ( $1.25 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), or 2-naphthalenethiol $(1.6 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$, benzene $(100 \mathrm{ml}),(E)-I(2.7 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$, and 2-propanol ( 5 drops). Sodium 4-toluenesulfonate separated during reaction; after c. 1 h , when the starting compound has been consumed, the mixture was filtered, evaporated in vacuo and the crude product was crystallized from benzene. The yield of $(E)-V I$ and $(E)-V I I$ was 84 and $75 \%$, respectively.
$B$ ) From tosylate $(Z)-I$ : applying the same procedure, compounds $(E)-V I$ and $(E)-V I I$ were obtained in the same yields.

Monitoring the Reaction between ( $Z$ )-3-(4-Toluenesulfonyloxymethylene)dihydro-2(3H)--furanone ( $(\boldsymbol{Z})-I$ ) and Piperidine, Pyrrolidine, Morpholine, or Butanethiol by NMR Spectrometer

Piperidine, pyrrolidine, morpholine, or butanethiol ( $2 \cdot 5 \cdot 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added to a solution of $(Z)-I\left(0.5 \mathrm{ml}, 0.1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{l}^{-1}\right)$ in hexadeuterioacetone containing a minimal amount of hexamethyldisiloxane in an NMR-tube, the solution was shaken and the ${ }^{1}$ H FT NMR spectra with a minimum number (12) of pulses required were immediately measured in pre-set time intervals.

The reaction with butanethiol did not observingly proceed and it was therefore catalyzed by addition of sodium hydride. Attention was primarily paid to the vinylic proton absorption as its chemical shift makes it best possible to discern configurations both of the starting compound and products. The results are as follows: $I$ ) isomerization of $(Z)-I$ to $(E)-I$ did not take place, 2) ( $Z$ )-isomers of $I I, I I I, I V$, and $V$ were primarily formed; they were characterized by chemical shift values and coupling constants of typical ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR absorptions, 3 ) isomerization of the originaly formed $(Z)$-isomers to thermodynamically more stable ( $E$ )-isomers of $I I, I I I, I V$, and $V$ was so rapid as to make their preparation impossible.

## $\operatorname{Di}((E)-2(3 H)$-dihydrofuranone-3-methine) Ether (IX)

A mixture of $(E)-I(2.7 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$ and sodium 3-(hydroxymethylene)dihydro-2(3H)-furanone ( VIII, $1.7 \mathrm{~g}, 12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), dissolved in aqueous acetone $(70 \%, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}, 100 \mathrm{ml}$ ) was stirred at room temperature for 4 days, concentrated under reduced pressure and filtered off. The precipitate was washed with water and crystallized from a great volume of ethanol to give $I X$ in a $90 \%$ yield.
(E)-2(3H)-Dihydrofuranone-3-methine (Z)-2(3H)-Dihydrofuranone-3-methine Ether $(X)$

A solution of sodium 3-(hydroxymethylene)dihydro-2( 3 H - -furanone (VIII, $0.9 \mathrm{~g}, 7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in water ( 15 ml ) was treated with a solution of $(Z)$-3-(4-toluenesulfonyloxymethylene)dihydro-$-2(3 H)$-furanone $(Z)-I,(1.35 \mathrm{~g}, 5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetone $(35 \mathrm{ml})$ with stirring at room temperature for 24 h . The solution was concentrated under diminished pressure, the precipitate was filtered off, washed with water and dried. The product, obtained in a $95 \%$ yield, was a $1: 2$ mixture of $I X$ and $X$; it was separated by chromatography on silica gel column ( 30 cm in length, particle size $40 / 100$ ) chloroform being the eluent and ether $I X$ eluting as the first.

## ( $E$ )-3-(Azidomethylene)dihydro-2(3H)-furanone $((E)-X I)$

Water (c. 50 ml ) was dropwise added to a stirred mixture of $(E)-I,(13.5 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \mathrm{ml})$ and sodium azide $(6.5 \mathrm{~g}, 0.1 \mathrm{~mol})$ in acetone $(500 \mathrm{ml})$ till a solution resulted; stirring was continued at an ambient temperature for 48 h . Acetone was distilled off under reduced pressure and the remaining suspension was extracted with chloroform $(5 \times 50 \mathrm{ml})$. The combined extracts were dried with sodium sulfate, filtered, and evaporated in vacuo to furnish the sufficiently pure $(E)-X I$ in a $85 \%$ yield.
( $Z$ )-3-(Azidomethylene(dihydro-2(3H)-furanone ( $(Z)-X I$ )
This compound was analogously prepared from $(Z)-I,(2 \cdot 7 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$, sodium azide $(2 \cdot 1 \mathrm{~g}$, $30 \mathrm{mmol})$, in acetone $(100 \mathrm{ml})$ with the exception that water $(c .20 \mathrm{ml})$ was added and the mixture was kept at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, since an increased temperature caused a partial or total isomerization of $(Z)-X I$ to $(E)-X I$. Yield of a sufficiently pure $(Z)-X I$ was $80 \%$.
( $E$ )- and ( $Z$ )-2-(4-Toluenesulfonyloxymethylene)-4-hydroxybutanoic Acids
$((E)-X I I)$ and $((Z)-X I I)$
The respective compound $(E)-I$, or $(Z)-I(2.7 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetone ( 40 ml ) was added to a solution of potassium hydroxide $(0.60 \mathrm{~g}, 15 \mathrm{mmol})$ in water $(10 \mathrm{ml})$ and the solution formed was left standing at room temperature for 48 h . Acetone was distilled off under diminished pressure, the residue was diluted with $0 \cdot 1 \mathrm{~m}-\mathrm{HCl}(100 \mathrm{ml})$, the precipitate was suction-filtered and crystallized from water to afford the title acids in a $90-95 \%$ yield.
(E)-3-(Chloromethylene)dihydro-2(3H)-furanone ( $(E)$-XIII)

Compound $(E)-I(30 \mathrm{~g}, 0 \cdot 11 \mathrm{~mol})$ was added to methanolic hydrogen chloride $(2 \mathrm{M}, 300 \mathrm{~m}) 1$ and the solution was refluxed till the starting compound disappeared, as checked by thin-layer chromatography (c. 30 h ). The mixture was cooled, washed with ice-cold water saturated with potassium carbonate ( 300 ml ), the organic layer was separated, the aqueous-methanolic one was extracted with chloroform ( $4 \times 40 \mathrm{ml}$ ), the combined extracts were dried with magnesium sulfate, filtrated, concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue was distilled in vacuo employing a Vigreux column to furnish $(E)-X I I I$ in a $34 \%$ yield.

Reaction of $(E)$-3-(Chloromethylene)dihydro-2(3H)-furanone ( $(E)$-XIII) with Piperidine, Pyrrolidine or Morpholine

Compound $(E)-X I I I(0.24 \mathrm{~g}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to the respective solution of morpholine $(0.032 \mathrm{~g}, 0.46 \mathrm{mmol})$, or pyrrolidine $(0.026 \mathrm{~g}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol})$, or piperidine $(0.031 \mathrm{~g}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry tetrahydrofuran ( 2 ml ), the solution was refluxed for a short time, cooled, diluted with water ( 10 ml ) and extracted with benzene $(2 \times 5 \mathrm{ml})$. The organic layer was dried with sodium sulfate, filtered and removed under reduced pressure. The remaining solid was suspended in a little amount of diethyl ether and filtered off. Yield of enamines $(E)-I I,(E)-I I I$ and $(E)-I V$ varied within $60-70 \%$.

Reaction of $(E)$-3-(Chloromethylene)dihydro-2(3H)-furanone (( $E$ )-XIII) with 2-Naphthalenethiol

2-Naphthalenethiol $(0.031 \mathrm{~g}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in tetrahydrofuran ( 5 ml ) was reacted with sodium metal $(0.1 \mathrm{~g})$, the solution was filtered, refluxed with ( $E$ ) -XIII ( $0.024 \mathrm{~g}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for a short time, cooled and filtered; the solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was crystallized from ethyl acetate to give ( $E$ )-VII in a $86 \%$ yield.
(E)-3-(Cyanomethylene)dihydro-2(3H)-furanone ( $(E)-X I V)$

Potassium cyanide ( $0.78 \mathrm{~g}, 12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dimethyl sulfoxide ( 30 ml ) was added to a stirred solution of $(E)-I(2.7 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dimethyl sulfoxide $(20 \mathrm{ml})$ at room temperature. The solution, which shortly after addition turned dark, was stirred for 3 h , diluted with water ( 500 ml ), and extracted with chloroform ( $5 \times 50 \mathrm{ml}$ ); the combined extracts were dried with sodium sulfate, concentrated under reduced pressure and diluted with ether. The separated product was filtered off and crystallized from ethanol. Yield $15 \%$.
(E)-3-(Isobutoxy-1-triazolinomethylene)- and (E)-3-(Cyclohexyloxy-1-triazolinomethylene)-dihydro-2( $3 H$ )-furanones $((E)-X V)$ and $((E)-X V I)$

Isobutyl vinyl ether ( $4 \mathrm{ml}, 30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), or cyclohexyl vinyl ether ( $4.5 \mathrm{ml}, 30 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added to $(E)-X I(2.25 \mathrm{~g}, 15 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane $(10 \mathrm{ml})$ and the solution was allowed to stand at room temperature in the dark till the starting material disappeared, which lasted approximately 14 days (checked by thin-layer chromatography). The residue, obtained by removing the solvent under diminished pressure, was suspended in ether, suction-filtered and crystallized from tetra-chloromethane-pentane to afford $(E)-X V$, or $(E)-X V I$ in a $90-95 \%$ yield.

## 1,1'-Oxydi((E)-1-butene-4-hydroxy-2-carboxylic) Acid (XVII)

Potassium hydroxide $(2.5 \mathrm{~g}, 45 \mathrm{mmol})$ in water ( 40 ml ) was added into a solution of $I X(3.4 \mathrm{~g}$,

16 mmol ) in acetone ( 200 ml ); the mixture was stirred at an ambient temperature for 24 h , acetone was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was acidified with dilute hydrcchloric acid. The separated precipitate was filtered off and crystallized from a little amount of water to give XVII in a $95 \%$ yield.
$(E)$ - and $(Z)$-2-(4-Toluenesulfonyloxymethylene)-1,4-butanediols ( $(E)-X V I I I)$
and (( $Z)-X V I I I)$
To a solution, prepared by a 1 h -stirring of lithium hydridoaluminate ( $2 \cdot 13 \mathrm{~g}, 56 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in ether $(100 \mathrm{ml})$ with aluminum chloride $(7.48 \mathrm{~g}, 56 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ether ( 100 ml ), a suspension of $(E)-I$, or $(Z)-I(20 \mathrm{~g}, 74 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ether $(100 \mathrm{ml})$ was added at room temperature. Stirring was continued till the starting compound disappeared (monitored by thin-layer chromatography, c. 48 h ), the unreacted reagent was decomposed by a successive addition of aqueous ether and water and the product was extracted with ether for 15 h . The extract was dried with magnesium sulfate, filtered and the solvent was evaporated under diminished pressure. The crystalline diol (E)-XVIII was recrystallized from dioxane-tetrachloromethane $1: 4$, the oily $(Z)-X V I I I$ was not further purified. Yield of $(E)-X V I I I$ was $66 \%$, that of $(Z)-X V I I I ~ 49 \%$; heating of the former with acetic anhydride afforded the diacetate $(E)-X X$ in a $80 \%$ yield.

## (E)-2-(2-Naphthylthiomethylene)-1,4-butanediol $((E)-X I X)$

A suspension of $(E)-V I I(2 \cdot 5 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ether ( 50 ml ) was gradually added to a mixture obtained by reacting lithium hydridoaluminate $(0.4 \mathrm{~g}, 11 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ether ( 25 ml ) with aluminium chloride ( $1.3 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in ether ( 25 ml ) under nitrogen for 30 min . Stirring was continued till the starting product was consumed ( 3 days, monitored by thin-layer chromatography). The excess of the reagent was decomposed as with $(E)-X V I I I$ and the product was extracted with ether for 48 h and worked up in a routine way. The yield of ( $E$ ) $-X I X$, which was crystallized from tetrachloromethane, was $60 \%$.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

As reported ${ }^{5-7}$, addition-elimination routes of nucleophilic substitutions at an activated dcuble bond proceed mostly with retention of configuration of the starting double bond. Vinylic substitutions with amines ${ }^{8,9}$, excluding ethyleneimine, where a stereoconvergence due to post-isomerization of the enamine formed has been reported ${ }^{10}$, are the exceptions. The first stereoconvergences under conditions of kinetic control were described ${ }^{6}$ in reactions of $(E)$ - and $(Z)$ - $\alpha$-iodo- $\beta$-nitrostyrenes with sul-fur-containing nucleophiles.
$(E)$ - and (Z)-3-(4-toluenesulfonyloxymethylene)dihydro-2(3H)-furanones ( $E$ )-I) and $((Z-I)$ react with piperidine, pyrrolidine, morpholine, butanethiol, 4-toluenethiol, and 2-naphthalenethiol at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give pure corresponding $(E)$-3-(piperidinomethy-lene)dihydro-2( $3 H$ )-furanone $((E)-I I)$, $(E)$-3-(pyrrolidinomethylene)dihydro-2( $3 H)$ --furanone $((E)-I I I),(E)$-3-(morpholinomethylene) dihydro-2-( $3 H$ )-furanone ( $(E)-I V)$, ( $E$ )-3-(butylthiomethylene)dihydro-2-( $3 H$ )-furanone $((E)-V),(E)$-3-(4-tolylthio-mt thyl ene)dihydro-2-( $3 H$ )-furanone $((E)-V I)$, and $(E)$-3-(2-naphthylthiomethylene)dihydro-$-2(3 H)$-furanone $((E)-V I I)$. Investigation of the reaction of tosylates $(E)-I$ and $(Z)-I$
with 0.5 equivalent of the above-mentioned nucleophiles in an NMR tube showed that primarily, products having the configuration of the starting olefin were formed; a rapid isomerization to the more stable $(E)$-isomer is taking place with $(Z)$-isomers of $I I, I I I, I V$, and $V$. Compounds $(Z)-I I-(Z)-V$ were characterized by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra, and it was shown that no isomerization of tosylate $(Z)-I$ to $(E)-I$ takes place under conditions applied. Experimental conditions prevented to prove the formation of products of the same configuration as the starting substrate upon treatment of tosylate $(Z)-I$ with 4-toluenethiol or 2-naphthalenethiol; the course of these reactions remains so far open. Tosylates $(E)-I$ and $(Z)-I$ react with sodium azide to afford pure $(E)$ - and (Z)-3-(azidomethylene)dihydro-2( $3 H$ )-furanones $((E)-X I)$ and $((Z)-X I)$, the latter, however, slowly isomerizes even at only a little

(E)

(Z)


VII, $\mathrm{X}=2-\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{~S}$
$I X$ and $X, \mathrm{X}=\underbrace{\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCOC}=\mathrm{CHO}}$
$X I, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{N}_{3}$
XIII, $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}$
$X I V, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CN}$


$$
\begin{aligned}
X V, \mathrm{R} & =\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9} \\
X V I, \mathrm{R} & =\text { cyclo- } \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}
\end{aligned}
$$

## $\mathrm{ZOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Y})=\mathrm{CHX}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { XII, } \mathrm{X} & =4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{SO}_{3} ; \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{H} \\
\text { XVII, } \mathrm{X} & =\mathrm{CH}_{2}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right)=\mathrm{CHO} ; \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{H} \\
\text { XVIII, X } & =4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{SO}_{3} ; \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH} ; \mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{H} \\
\text { XIX, X } & =2-\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{~S} ; \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH} ; \mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{H} \\
X X, \mathrm{X} & =4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{SO}_{3} ; \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCOCH}_{3} ; \mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{COCH}_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

elevated temperature $\left(c .30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, or on longer standing in solution at a temperature around $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give the azide $(E)-X I$; this has to be considered when preparing the azide $(Z)-X I$, the preparative exploitation of which is therefore somehow limited.

The $(E)$-isomer of sodium 3-(hydroxymethylene)dihydro-2( $3 H$ )-furanone ${ }^{1}$ $((E)-V I I I)$ reacts with tosylate $(E)-I$ to furnish pure $\operatorname{di}((E)-2(3 H)$-dihydrofuranone--3-methine) ether $(I X)$, with tosylate $(Z)-I$ it yields a $1: 2$ mixture of ether $I X$ and ( $(E)$-2(3H)-dihydrofuranone-3-methine) $((Z)$-2(3H)-dihydrofuranone-3-methine) ether $(X)$; neither isomerization of the ether $I X$ to ether $X$, nor isomerization of tosylate $(Z)-I$ to $(E)-I$ was observed under these reaction conditions. It could be therefore presumed that stereoconvergence during reaction of the activated double bond with the bulky oxygen-containing nucleophile was involved.

Tosylate $(E)$-I gave with methanolic hydrogen chloride the reactive $(E)-3$ - (chloro-methylene)dihydro- $2(3 H)$-furanone $((E)-X I I I)$ which, upon reaction with piperidine, pyrrolidine, morpholine, or 2-naphthalenethiol, afforded the corresponding ( $E$ )-II, $(E)-I I I,(E)-I V$, and $(E)-V I I$. Tosylate $(E)-I$ and potassium cyanide in dimethyl sulfoxide gave the corresponding $(E)$-3-(cyanomethylene)dihydro-2-( $3 H$ )-furanone $((E)-X I V)$ in a low yield only; even a detailed analysis of the reaction mixture failed to separate any other pure compound. Addition of the azide $(E)$ - $X I$ to isobutyl vinyl ether, or to cyclohexyl vinyl ether is quantitative. So far, we have not succeeded to establish the orientation in addition of vinyl ethers to azide $(E)$ - $X I$, and thereby the exact position of the alkoxyl group in (E)-3-(5-isobutoxy-1-triazolinomethylene)di-hydro-2(3H)-furanone $((E)-X V)$ and in (E)-3-(5-cyclohexyloxy-1-triazolinomethy-lene)dihydro- $2(3 H)$-furanone $((E)-X V I)$; they are given in accordance with ${ }^{11}$ only.

Alkaline hydrolysis of tosylates $(E)-I,(Z)-I$ and the ether $I X$ led to the corresponding $(E)$ - and ( $Z$ )-2-(4-toluenesulfonyloxymethylene)-4-hydroxybutanoic acids $((E)-X I I)$ and $((Z)-X I I)$, and to $1,1^{\prime}$-oxydi $((E)$-1-butene-4-hydroxy-2-carboxylic) acid (XVII). Reduction of $(E)-I$ and $(Z)-I$ with chloralane gave the corresponding $(E)$ - and (Z)-2-(4-toluenesulfonyloxymethylene)-1,4-butanediols $((E)-X V I I I)$ and $((Z)-X V I I I)$, reduction of $(E)$-VII with the same reagent afforded $(E)$-2-(2-naphthyl-thiomethylene)-1,4-butanediol $((E)-X I X)$. Diols $(E)-X V I I I$ and $(Z)$-XVIII are very sensitive towards acid medium due to their allylic and homoallylic hydroxyl groups and therefore, they undergo a deep decomposition. Similarly, a decomposition takes place also in an alkaline medium likely as a result of a primary attack of hydroxyl ions at sulfur. These facts have so far prevented cyclizing the diols into 3-(4-toluenesulfonyloxymethylene)tetrahydrofuran, a potential precursor of the hitherto unknown 3-tetrahydrofuranaldehyde. An excess of acetic anhydride converts the diol (E)-$-X V I I I$ to $(E)$-1,4-diacetoxy-2-(4-toluenesulfonyloxymethylene)butane ( $(E)-X X)$.

The $(E)$ - and $(Z)$-configurations of substances prepared were assigned on the basis of their ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectral data. As reported ${ }^{4,12,13}$, vinylic protons of $(E)$-isomers are, like ours, downfield shifted, when compared with the corresponding $(Z)$-isomers (Tables I and II). Our results show that similar signals of vinylic protons of $(E)$-iso-
Table I
Physicochemical constants and characteristic ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR signals of 3-(X-methylene)dihydro-2(3H)-furanones

| Compound | $\begin{gathered} \text { M.p. },{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\ \text { b.p. } .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{kPa} \\ \left(n_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}\right) \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ in $\mathrm{C}^{2} \mathrm{HCl}_{3}(\delta, \mathrm{ppm}) / J(\mathrm{~Hz})$$(1)=\mathrm{CH},(2) \mathrm{CH}_{2},(3) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |  |  |  | Formula <br> (M.w.) | Calculated/Found |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \% C | \% H | \% N |
| (E)-II | 66-67 | (1) | 7.13/1.7 |  | 3.00/1.7; 7.1 |  | $\underset{(181 \cdot 2)}{\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{2}}$ | 66.28 | 8.34 | 7.73 |
|  |  | (3) | 4.24/7.1; |  | 6.93/1.7; | 66.03 |  | $8 \cdot 27$ | $7 \cdot 65$ |
|  |  | (2) | 2.95/1.7; 7.1; |  | 4.09/7.1 |  |  |  |  |
| (Z)-II | - | (1) ${ }^{a} 6 \cdot 43 / 1 \cdot 0$; <br> (3) $4 \cdot 03 / 7 \cdot 1$ |  | (2) | 7-4/1•0; $7 \cdot 1$ | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (E)-III | 112.5-111.5 | (1) | 7.30/1.7 |  | 3.08/1.7; 7.5 | $\underset{(167 \cdot 2)}{\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{2}}$ | 64.65 | 7.84 | $8 \cdot 38$ |
|  |  | (3) | 4-18/7.5; |  | 7.17/1.7 |  | 64.52 | 7.96 | $8 \cdot 44$ |
|  |  | (2) | 3.09/1.7; 7.5; |  | 4.09/7.5 |  |  |  |  |
| ( ) $^{\text {-III }}$ | - | (1) ${ }^{a} 6 \cdot 43 / 1 \cdot 0$; <br> (3) $4 \cdot 09 / 6 \cdot 5$ |  |  | 2.78/1.0; $6 \cdot 5$ | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (E)-IV | 102.5-103.5 | (1) | 7.09/1.9; |  | 2.93/1.9; $7 \cdot 5$ | $\underset{(183 \cdot 2}{\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{3}}$ | 59.00 | $7 \cdot 15$ | 7.65 |
|  |  | (3) | 4.23/7.5; |  | 6.93/1.9 |  | $59 \cdot 13$ | $7 \cdot 19$ | 7.67 |
|  |  |  | 3.02/1.9; 7.5; |  | $4 \cdot 17 / 7 \cdot 5$ |  |  |  |  |
| ( Z )-IV | - | (1) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 6.43/1.2; |  | 2.79/1-2; $7 \cdot 5$ | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | (3) | 4.16/7.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (E) $-V$ | $\begin{aligned} & 152-156 / 0 \cdot 5 \\ & (1 \cdot 5431) \end{aligned}$ | (1) $7 \cdot 47 / 2 \cdot 5$; <br> (3) $4 \cdot 30 / 7 \cdot 8$; <br> (2) $2.95 / 2 \cdot 5 ; 7 \cdot 8$ |  |  | 2.96/2.5; 7-8 | $\underset{(186 \cdot 3)}{\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58.02 \\ & 58.21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.57 \\ & 7.43 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 7.45/2.5; |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 4.34/7.8 |  |  |  |  |
| ( $Z$ ) - $V$ | - | (1) ${ }^{a} 7 \cdot 14 / 1 \cdot 6$; <br> (3) $4 \cdot 04 / 7 \cdot 0$ |  | (2) $2 \cdot 93 / 1 \cdot 6 ; 7 \cdot 0$ |  | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| (E)-VI | 97-98 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \cdot 74 / 3 \cdot 0 \\ & 3 \cdot 59 / 7 \cdot 5 \end{aligned}$ | (2) | 2.11/3.0;7.5 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S} \\ (220 \cdot 3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65 \cdot 42 \\ & 65 \cdot 31 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.49 \\ & 5 \cdot 53 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (E)-VII | $150 \cdot 5-151 \cdot 5$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \cdot 73 / 2 \cdot 7 \\ & 4 \cdot 38 / 7 \cdot 5 \end{aligned}$ | (2) | $2 \cdot 73 / 2 \cdot 7 ; 7 \cdot 5$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S} \\ (256 \cdot 3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \cdot 29 \\ & 70 \cdot 68 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \cdot 72 \\ & 5 \cdot 22 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| IX | 247-249 | $\begin{gathered} (1) \\ (3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \cdot 54 / 2 \cdot 8 \\ & 4 \cdot 41 / 7 \cdot 8 \end{aligned}$ | (2) | $2 \cdot 99 / 2 \cdot 8 ; 7 \cdot 8$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{5} \\ (210 \cdot 2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 57 \cdot 61 \\ & 57.52 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \cdot 79 \\ & 4 \cdot 83 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| X | 197-198 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \cdot 48 / 2 \cdot 8 \\ & 4 \cdot 43 / 7 \cdot 4 \\ & 2 \cdot 97 / 2 \cdot 1 ; 7 \cdot 2 \end{aligned}$ | (2) <br> (1) ${ }^{d}$ <br> (3) | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \cdot 10 / 2 \cdot 8 ; 7 \cdot 4 \\ & 6 \cdot 87 / 2 \cdot 1 ; \\ & 4 \cdot 38 / 7 \cdot 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{5} \\ (210 \cdot 2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 57 \cdot 61 \\ & 57 \cdot 65 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \cdot 79 \\ & 4 \cdot 70 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| (E)-XI | 96.5-98.5 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \cdot 34 / 2 \cdot 8 \\ & 4 \cdot 30 / 7 \cdot 5 \\ & 2 \cdot 86 / 2 \cdot 8 ; 7 \cdot 5 \end{aligned}$ | (2) <br> $(1)^{a}$ <br> (3) | $\begin{gathered} 2 \cdot 80 / 2 \cdot 8 ; 7 \cdot 5 \\ 7 \cdot 57 / 2 \cdot 8 \\ 4 \cdot 39 / 7 \cdot 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \\ (139 \cdot 1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43 \cdot 17 \\ & 43 \cdot 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.62 \\ & 3.51 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \cdot 21 \\ & 29 \cdot 92 \end{aligned}$ |
| (Z)-XI | $92 \cdot 5-93 \cdot 5$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \cdot 76 / 2 \cdot 3 ; \\ & 4 \cdot 34 / 7 \cdot 5 ; \\ & 2 \cdot 96 / 2 \cdot 3 ; 7 \cdot 5 ; \end{aligned}$ | (2) <br> (1) ${ }^{a}$ <br> (3) | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \cdot 95 / 2 \cdot 3 ; 7 \cdot 5 \\ & 7 \cdot 13 / 2 \cdot 3 \\ & 4 \cdot 31 / 7 \cdot 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \\ (139 \cdot 1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43 \cdot 17 \\ & 42 \cdot 98 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.62 \\ & 3.53 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \cdot 21 \\ & 30 \cdot 11 \end{aligned}$ |
| (E)-XIII | $\begin{aligned} & 95 / 0 \cdot 6 \\ & (1 \cdot 5056) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \cdot 13 / 3 \cdot 0 \\ & 4 \cdot 33 / 6 \cdot 4 \end{aligned}$ | (2) | 2.95/3.00; $6 \cdot 4$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Cl} \\ (132 \cdot 5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \cdot 32 \\ & 45 \cdot 03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.80 \\ & 3.61 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| (E)-XIV | 245--247 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \cdot 61 / 2 \cdot 8 \\ & 4 \cdot 44 / 7 \cdot 4 \end{aligned}$ | (2) | $2 \cdot 99 / 2 \cdot 8 ; 7 \cdot 4$ | $\underset{(123 \cdot 1)}{\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NO}_{2}}$ | $\begin{gathered} 58.54 \\ 58.30 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \cdot 09 \\ & 3 \cdot 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \cdot 38 \\ & 11 \cdot 29 \end{aligned}$ |
| (E)-XV | $82-83 \cdot 5$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \cdot 85 / 2 \cdot 5 \\ & 4 \cdot 39 / 7 \cdot 3 \end{aligned}$ | (2) | $3 \cdot 22 / 2 \cdot 5 ; 7 \cdot 3$ | $\underset{(239 \cdot 3)}{\mathrm{C}_{1:} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \cdot 51 \\ & 55 \cdot 37 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \cdot 18 \\ & 7 \cdot 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \cdot 56 \\ & 17 \cdot 18 \end{aligned}$ |
| (E)-XVI | 97-99 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \cdot 63 / 2 \cdot 6 \\ & 4 \cdot 38 / 7 \cdot 5 \end{aligned}$ | (2) | $3 \cdot 24 / 2 \cdot 6 ; 7 \cdot 5$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \\ (265 \cdot 3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58.85 \\ & 58.61 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \cdot 22 \\ & 7 \cdot 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \cdot 84 \\ & 15 \cdot 65 \end{aligned}$ |

${ }^{a}$ In hexadeuterioacetone; ${ }^{b}$ in hexadeuteriobenzene; ${ }^{c}$ proton signals of the $(E)$-isomer; ${ }^{d}$ proton signals of the $(Z)$-isomer; ${ }^{e}$ in $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$.
Table II
Physicochemical constants and characteristic ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR signals of compounds $\mathrm{ZOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Y})=\mathrm{CHX}$


[^1]mers are by $0.30-0.70 \mathrm{ppm}$ downfield shifted (relative to those of their $(Z)$-counterparts). Position of these signals is strongly solvent-dependent and, therefore, it is advantageous to use also the second criterion - the coupling constants of the vinylic protons which are by $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ greater with $(E)$-isomers when compared with $(Z)$ --isomers. These differences in a single molecule are well exemplified by the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of ether $X$.

Noteworthy are the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of acids $(E)-X I I$ and $(Z)-X I I$ : whereas the former exists in one form only regardless the solvent, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the latter indicates the presence of two forms, the ratio of which is a function of the solvent used. Both these forms differ (Table II) not only in chemical shifts of vinylic and methylene protons, but also in coupling constants. We suppose, therefore, that this form, the concentration of which increases with increasing polarity of the medium, is the free acid, and the other one, the concentration of which increases with decreasing polarity of the medium, is the acid $(Z)-X I I$ with a strong intramolecular hydrogen bonding between the carboxyl group and the oxygen atom of the sulfonyloxy group.

The chloro derivative ( $E$ )-XIII exhibited no in vivo activity against $P 388$ lymphocytic leukemia in white mice ${ }^{14}$.

Our thanks are due to Dr V. Rothová and to Mrs J. Ondráková for their assistance in measuring the NMR spectra, and a skillful technical help, respectively.
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[^2]
[^0]:    * A part of the C. M. and Z. J. Theses.

[^1]:    ${ }^{a}$ In hexadeuterioacetone; ${ }^{b}$ form of compound $(Z)-X I I I$ predominating in hexadeuterioacetone (2:1); ${ }^{c}$ the second form of compound $(Z)-X I I$, representation in deuteriochloroform (1:1), ${ }^{d}$ in hexadeuterioacetone- ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

[^2]:    Translated by Z. Votický.

